

## EVALUATION FORM – REVIEW

Section	Points
<b>Introduction</b>	
Argumentation on the choice of the hypothesis in order to easily grasp the motivation for having selected that subject	/5 points
Clarity of the objective	/5 points
The introduction is concise, yet provides satisfactory details on the importance or the novelty of the presented study, as well as a brief overview of the specialised literature for the chosen topic	/5 points
<b>Materials and methods</b>	
Presentation of the selection criteria for the articles summarized in the review	/10 points
Proper description of the literature research performed in order to achieve the intended objective	/10 points
<b>Results and discussions</b>	
The results collected from the specialised literature research are relevant for the purpose	/10 points
The results correspond to the previously presented and studied parameters	/5 points
The results are plainly presented. A clear conclusion can be drawn after reading the presented results.	/10 points
<b>Conclusions</b>	
Accordance between results and conclusions	/10 points

The information presented in this section have already been presented in other sections of the abstract (there are not any newly presented data)	/5 points
Either a confirmation or a disapproval of the initial hypothesis is presented, so that the conclusion is firm and conspicuous	/10 points
<b>Originality, others</b>	
The degree of novelty and originality, as well as the scientific significance and practical value of the elected subject	/5 points
International language fluency, proper expression, comprehensible text	/5 points
Adherence to the recommended ratio of the abstract's components - 1:2:2:1 (Introduction: Materials and Methods: Results: Conclusions)	/5 points
<b>SCORE:</b>	/100 points